NHS Education for Scotland

# Risk assessment – long term condition management

#### Introduction

As we restart Long Term Condition (LTC) management we wanted to be able to identify and minimise risks to patients and staff.

Previously patients attended Health Care Assistants (HCA) to have bloods taken and blood pressure, height and weight recorded +/- urine and foot assessment. Patients then attended the General Practice nurse (GPN) for long term condition management advice and to discuss their results. If indices such as blood pressure were not to target they would task a GP to contact the patient and alter medication.

#### Step 3 – Evaluate Risks

Infection transmission

Patients with existing health conditions at higher risk from COVID.
Increased risk if have to attend repeatedly

Increased risk if mix with patients with acute illness

Blood test results were sent to GPs who would interpret these and contact the patient if medication changes were needed.

We aimed to complete a risk assessment of this process with the team to identify hazards and reduce the risk of harm to patients and staff by redesigning out LTC management systems.

#### **Step 1 – Identify Hazards**

Infection transmission

Attending practice
Repeated attendance
Mixing with other patients

Not performing LTC management effectively •Large number of patients requiring review – some patients at higher risk than others. Some will need review very soon, others can be delayed.

#### Step 4 – Control the Risks

#### Infection control

Attend branch surgery (fewer patients and none reporting fever etc)
Use telephone and video consulting if possible.

Reduce number of attendances to one if possible (see below)
Adhere to all recommendation regarding PPE and cleaning – build time into appointments to reflect this.

#### Not performing LTC management effectively

•Collect all data at one visit with Health Care Assistant (HCA)

HCA records how the patient would like (and be able) to be contacted
HCA informs patient when they will be contacted

•Results reviewed by GP, GPN and admin team member. Dependent on results contacted by GP, GPN or admin.

Not performing LTC management effectivelyDelayed monitoring and actionsCapacity higher than demand

#### Step 2 – Establish Risks

Infection transmission

Attending practice risk of transmission of infection to patients from other patients, during travel or from staff.
Increasing footfall in practice increases risk for staff

Not performing LTC management effectively •Leads to poor control of LTC for patients - increase morbidity and mortality and increased risk from COVID •Capacity higher than demand – delayed review of high priority patients •Synchronise recall.

•Prioritise invites for LTC review.

Calculate expected capacity -next 3/12 and subsequent 9/12
As team agree priority patient characteristics - see table.
Calculated approx numbers fall into each group to ensure capacity equals demand.

### **Step 5 – Document and Review**

Formal evaluation of changes as a QI project looking at patient and staff satisfaction, attendance rates, number of appointments used and ultimately control of LTC.

### Conclusions

This was a useful way to get team involvement to identify what they

Risk of complaint to practice
Risk of not fulfilling contractual requirement post QOF
Reputational risk of providing poorer care than other practices

were concerned about and identify risks for patients. By discussing the hazards we were able to develop a system that everyone is happy with.

#### **Contact:**



# Risk assessment – long term condition management

## Table 1 – Agreed recall in disease/ monitoring areas

Disease area	Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	(Next 3 months)	(3-12 months)	(Next year)
Diabetes	Due review and	Due review and	X
	HbA1c >7.8	HbA1c <7.8	
Vascular (IHD, CVD,	Due review and BP	Due review good	X
PVD)	>150/90 or	control	
	cholesterol >5		
Hypertension	Due review last BP	X	Due review last BP
	<150/90		<150/90
CKD	Due a 3 or 6 month	Due annual review	Due annual review
	review due to	and last eGFR <45	and last eGFR >45
	deterioration at last		
	test		
Asthma/ COPD	High SABA ordering	Expected SABA use	X
	or 3 or more	or < 3 exacerbations	
	exacerbations in year	in last year	
Thyroid	Χ	TSH < 0.01 or >5	Good control
Drug monitoring	Ongoing as per	Χ	Χ
(Lithium, DMARDs)	practice protocols		
Impaired glucose	Χ	Χ	Restart annual
tolerance/			review
gestational diabetes			
Learning disability	x	By phone/ attend	x
reviews, mental		anywhere if possible	
health, epilepsy (inc			
sodium valproate)			
Drug monitoring	X	x	Restart annual BP
(Mirabegron,			review next year
allopurinol,			
testosterone)			
Monitoring of	X	Start 3-9 months	X
terbinafine and			
nitrofurantoin (LFT)			
DOAC monitoring	Start as many	×	×
DOAC monitoring	changed during		X
	COVID		
	COVID		

